

IJP 10005

Rapid Communication

Effect of compression speed on the relationship between normalised solid fraction and mechanical properties of compacts

L.E. Holman * and H. Leuenberger

School of Pharmacy, University of Basle, Basle, Switzerland

(Received 4 September 1989)

(Accepted 18 September 1989)

Key words: Speed of compression; Indentation hardness; Relative hardness; Relaxed complex elastic modulus; Normalized solid fraction

Summary

Theoretically, when a given particulate system is compacted to the same degree of consolidation it should manifest the same mechanical properties independent of the rate of compaction. To test this, a preliminary study has investigated the effect of compression speed on the semilogarithmic relationship of indentation hardness and elastic modulus as functions of normalized solid fraction using Avicel[®], a viscoelastic material, and lactose, a relatively non-viscoelastic material.

The experimental results support the thesis within the range of speeds of compression used in this study.

The effect of compression rate on the consolidation behaviour of powders as regards pressure-porosity and pressure-strength relationships have been extensively investigated (Waring et al., 1987; Rue and Rees, 1978; Sartor, 1978; York, 1979; Armstrong, 1989 and the references quoted in it). In summary, the results indicated that compacts of viscoelastic substances (because of their time-dependent characteristics) became denser and stronger with decreasing rate of compression, whereas substances that were not prone to time-dependent deformation, notably brittle sub-

stances, were relatively neutral to changes in speeds of compression.

Accepting that the degree of interparticulate bonding is responsible for the mechanical properties of a compact, it follows that for a particular substance the hardness or elasticity of its compacts at a given degree of interparticulate bonding should be a constant which is independent of the speed of compression. Consequently, the relationships of the mechanical properties as a function of the interparticle contact area should be neutral to changes in compression rates.

In a preliminary study to test this deliberation, Avicel[®] (visco-elastic) and lactose (brittle) were compressed at three different compression rates – 15, 25 and 40 strokes per minute and the indentation hardnesses, H , and relaxed complex elastic Young's moduli, E^* , of the resulting compacts were tested. The experimental conditions and procedure have been described elsewhere (Holman

Correspondence: H. Leuenberger, School of Pharmacy, University of Basle, Totengässlein 3, CH-4051 Basle, Switzerland.

* *Present address:* Smith Kline and French Laboratories, Research and Development Division, Pharmaceutical Sciences Department L-930, P.O. Box 1539, King of Prussia, PA 19406, U.S.A.

and Leuenberger, 1989a). The relaxed complex elastic modulus was calculated using a modification of the Hertz's equation (Hertz, 1896) as described elsewhere (Holman and Leuenberger, 1988). Elastic moduli values for only Avicel are reported here because values obtained for lactose were associated with high standard errors as reported in Holman and Leuenberger (1988).

The hardness and elasticity values obtained from the measurements were plotted as functions of the normalized solid fraction (Holman and Leuenberger, 1988). The semilogarithmic relationship between hardness, H , or elastic modulus, E^* , and the normalized solid fraction, P , consists of two (if the material consolidates by plastic deformation) or three (if the material undergoes extensive fragmentation during consolidation) linear segments (Holman and Leuenberger, 1988). The different slopes may be designated as k_s , k_m , and k_a and the different y-intercepts may correspondingly be referred to as A_s , A_m and A_a (Holman, 1988). k_s or A_s is the slope or y-intercept respectively of the initial linear segment and describes the regression of $\ln H$ or $\ln E^*$ as a function of P when a continuous network of pores occur in the compact. For materials which undergo a brittle-ductile transition the semilogarithmic relationship between H or E^* and P crosses over from this initial linear region to another linear region having a slope, k_m and a y-intercept, A_m . The transition is a manifestation of the compaction behaviour crossing over from a predominantly brittle behaviour to a predominantly plastic one (Holman and Leuenberger, 1988). At high P -values, the pores become isolated and dispersed in the continuous solid medium. The slope and y-intercept in this region has been referred to as k_a and A_a , respectively.

The slopes, k , and y-intercepts, A , are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The hardness, H_o , and elasticity modulus, E_o^* , of the fully-dense compact as obtained by extrapolation (Holman and Leuenberger, 1988) are also listed, in Table 3.

For lactose, a relatively non-viscoelastic material, the hardness at zero porosity, H_o , the slopes, k , and the y-intercepts, A , of the relationship $\ln H(P)$ – which are designated $k_s(H)$, $k_m(H)$, $k_a(H)$ and $A_s(H)$, $A_m(H)$, and $A_a(H)$ to differentiate them

TABLE 1

Effect of compression speed on the slopes, k , of the semilogarithmic plots of indentation hardness or elasticity modulus as a function of normalized solid fraction

| | Slopes [MPa] | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | k_s | k_m | k_a |
| Hardness | | | |
| Lactose | | | |
| 15 strokes/ | $6.124 \pm 0.103^*$ | 4.882 ± 0.05 | 3.445 ± 0.362 |
| min | $5.839 - 6.410^+$ | $4.667 - 5.097$ | $2.293 - 4.597$ |
| 25 strokes/ | 6.491 ± 0.350 | 5.139 ± 0.179 | 3.369 ± 0.327 |
| min | $4.986 - 7.996$ | $4.679 - 5.599$ | $1.961 - 4.777$ |
| 40 strokes/ | 6.232 ± 0.211 | 5.082 ± 0.182 | 2.897 ± 0.222 |
| min | $5.562 - 6.904$ | $4.503 - 5.661$ | $1.942 - 3.881$ |
| Avicel | | | |
| 15 strokes/ | 3.712 ± 0.043 | – | 2.678 ± 0.045 |
| min | $3.610 - 3.815$ | – | $2.106 - 3.250$ |
| 25 strokes/ | 4.065 ± 0.139 | – | 2.369 ± 0.066 |
| min | $3.679 - 4.452$ | – | $1.536 - 3.202$ |
| 40 strokes/ | 4.362 ± 0.097 | – | 2.579 ± 0.093 |
| min | $4.112 - 4.612$ | – | $2.179 - 2.979$ |
| Elasticity modulus | | | |
| Avicel | | | |
| 15 strokes/ | 3.452 ± 0.070 | – | 1.927 ± 0.029 |
| min | $3.286 - 3.618$ | – | $1.562 - 2.293$ |
| 25 strokes/ | 3.508 ± 0.072 | – | 2.050 ± 0.073 |
| min | $3.307 - 3.709$ | – | $1.738 - 2.362$ |
| 40 strokes/ | 3.946 ± 0.086 | – | 2.167 ± 0.072 |
| min | $3.725 - 4.166$ | – | $1.857 - 2.477$ |

*, Mean \pm S.D. +, 95% confidence interval. k_s , slope of the initial linear section; k_m , slope of the linear section after brittle-ductile transition; k_a , slope of the linear section in the closed pore state.

from the slopes and y-intercepts of $\ln E^*(P)$ which have been designated as $k_s(E)$, $k_m(E)$, $k_a(E)$ and $A_s(E)$, $A_m(E)$, $A_a(E)$ – are insensitive to changes in speeds of compression in the range of compression speeds used in this study. A similar trend was found for Avicel^R. Except the slope, k_s , and the y-intercept, A_s , of the initial linear segment of $\ln H(P)$ and $\ln E^*(P)$ plots which show a dependency on the rate of compression (k_s increases and A_s decreases with increasing compression rate), all the parameters i.e. H_o , E_o^* , the slope, k_a and the y-intercept, A_a , derived from the plots for Avicel remain essentially constant with varying speeds of compression (see Tables 1 and 2).

A test for parallelism shows that although $k_s(H)$ at a compression rate of 25 strokes/min

TABLE 2

Effect of speed of compression on the y-intercept, A , of the semilogarithmic plot of indentation hardness and elasticity modulus as a function of the normalized solid fraction

| | y-intercept | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | $\ln A_s$ | $\ln A_m$ | $\ln A_a$ |
| Hardness | | | |
| Lactose | | | |
| 15 strokes/min. | $0.717 \pm 0.058^*$ $0.557 - 0.878^+$ | 1.489 ± 0.033 $1.348 - 1.630$ | 2.523 ± 0.276 $1.644 - 3.402$ |
| 25 strokes/min. | 0.530 ± 0.190 $-0.289 - 1.348$ | 1.299 ± 0.117 $0.999 - 1.599$ | 2.576 ± 0.250 $1.500 - 3.653$ |
| 40 strokes/min. | 0.754 ± 0.116 $0.386 - 1.121$ | 1.426 ± 0.120 $1.043 - 1.808$ | 3.019 ± 0.172 $2.277 - 3.760$ |
| Avicel | | | |
| 15 strokes/min | 1.114 ± 0.032 $1.038 - 1.190$ | — — | 1.997 ± 0.040 $1.493 - 2.501$ |
| 25 strokes/min | 0.837 ± 0.103 $0.552 - 1.123$ | — — | 2.267 ± 0.057 $1.540 - 2.994$ |
| 40 strokes/min | 0.679 ± 0.067 $0.506 - 0.852$ | — — | 2.117 ± 0.081 $1.770 - 2.464$ |
| Elasticity modulus | | | |
| Avicel | | | |
| 15 strokes/min | -2.466 ± 0.052 $-2.589 - -2.344$ | — — | -1.175 ± 0.025 -1.497 ± -0.853 |
| 25 strokes/min | -2.517 ± 0.053 -2.665 ± -2.369 | — — | -1.309 ± 0.062 $-1.577 - -1.040$ |
| 40 strokes/min | -2.816 ± -0.059 $-2.969 - -2.663$ | — — | -1.344 ± -0.063 $-1.613 - -1.075$ |

*, Mean \pm S.D.; +, 95% confidence interval. Subscripts are the same as in Table 1.

TABLE 3

The hardness, H_o , and elasticity modulus, E_o^* , at zero porosity at various compression rates

| | $(H_o - \text{S.D.}) - H_o - (H_o + \text{S.D.})$ [MPa] | 95% Confidence interval |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Hardness | | |
| Lactose | | |
| 15 strokes/min | $358.248 - 390.747 - 426.194$ | $296.402 - 515.122$ |
| 25 strokes/min | $353.445 - 381.966 - 412.787$ | $273.536 - 533.377$ |
| 40 strokes/min | $352.533 - 370.695 - 389.793$ | $298.638 - 460.139$ |
| Avicel | | |
| 15 strokes/min | $106.677 - 107.263 - 107.852$ | $100.048 - 114.997$ |
| 25 strokes/min | $102.211 - 103.074 - 103.945$ | $92.623 - 114.704$ |
| 40 strokes/min | $108.095 - 109.465 - 110.853$ | $103.691 - 115.561$ |
| Elasticity modulus | | |
| Avicel | | |
| | $(E_o^* - \text{S.D.}) - E_o^* - (E_o^* + \text{S.D.})$ [GPa] | 95% Confidence interval |
| 15 strokes/min | $2.115 - 2.123 - 2.130$ | $2.030 - 2.219$ |
| 25 strokes/min | $2.077 - 2.098 - 2.120$ | $2.009 - 2.192$ |
| 40 strokes/min | $2.254 - 2.277 - 2.299$ | $2.183 - 2.374$ |

does not differ from that of the 40 strokes/min, the $k_s(H)$ value at the compression speed of 15 strokes/min differs from the $k_s(H)$ values at both speeds of 25 and 40 strokes/min. Considering the elasticity results, the $k_s(E)$ values at speeds of 15 and 25 strokes/min are not significantly different from each other but both values differ from the $k_s(E)$ value at 40 strokes/min.

The A_s and k_s values like the constants of any linear regression behave like typically a flip-flop system. As such, the A_s values are likely to show the same significant or insignificant differences as the k_s values. They were thus not analysed.

The apparent non-uniformity of the statistical significance tests on the effect of the compression speed on the k_s values is attributable to the minimal difference between speeds of compression used which was limited by the range of speeds over which the single-punch tableting machine, EK-0, could be run. Nevertheless, it is clear from the statistical tests that when the difference in compression speeds is big enough (e.g. 15 and 40 strokes/min) the slopes show a clear dependency on the compression speed.

By plotting the hardness data for the Avicel compacts in the form of the relative hardness, $\ln H/\ln H_0$, versus the normalized solid fraction, P , it is seen that the slopes of the initial linear region increase with increasing rates of compression (see Table 4) indicating an increasing fragmentation propensity (Holman and Leuenberger, 1989b). Following the report of Sixsmith (1982) that Avicel

fragments under pressure and keeping in mind that Avicel is viscoelastic, its response to increasing compression rates with increasing fragmentation may be explained by the fact that generally the stress-relieving properties of viscoelastic materials diminish with increasing compression rates.

The observation that the slope, k_s , for Avicel is dependent on the speed of compression does not contradict the hypothesis that the hardness or elasticity of compacts with the same degree of interparticle contact area should be the same independent of compaction rates. This is because as explained elsewhere (Holman and Leuenberger, 1989b), due to the changing fragmentation propensity of Avicel with changing compression speed, the compacts compressed at different speeds to a certain normalized solid fraction will have different degrees of interparticle bonding. In other words, the compacts compressed at different speeds, although they exhibit the same normalised solid fraction, are bonded (particle-particle bonds) to varying degrees. Therefore the dependence of the slope on the rate of compression is to be expected. If, however, the mechanical properties of the tablets compacted at different rates are compared at that point where it is certain that the interparticle contact area is the same for all the compacts in spite of the rate of compaction, that is at zero porosity, the truth in the hypothesis becomes obvious.

Generally, it may be said that although for predominantly viscoelastic materials, represented by Avicel in this study, the slope, k_a , the hardness, H_0 , and elasticity, E_0^* , at zero porosity obtained by extrapolation show no dependency on the rate of compression in the range of compression speeds used in this study, the slope, k_s , increase with increasing speeds of compression. For relatively non-viscoelastic materials as represented by lactose in this study, all the parameters are essentially insensitive to the changing speeds of compression used in this study.

Acknowledgements

L.E.H., would like to thank the "Amt für Ausbildungsbeiträge", Basle, Switzerland, for the

TABLE 4

Slopes, b , of the relationship between relative hardness, $\ln H/\ln H_0$, and the normalized solid fraction, P , for Avicel compacts compressed at different speeds of compaction

| Compression speed [strokes/min] | Slopes | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | b_s | b_a |
| 15 | $0.794 \pm 0.009^*$ | 0.573 ± 0.010 |
| | $0.772 - 0.816^+$ | $0.451 - 0.695$ |
| 25 | 0.877 ± 0.021 | 0.511 ± 0.014 |
| | $0.794 - 0.960$ | $0.311 - 0.691$ |
| 40 | 0.929 ± 0.021 | 0.549 ± 0.020 |
| | $0.876 - 0.982$ | $0.464 - 0.634$ |

***, Mean \pm S.D.; *+*, 95% confidence interval. Subscripts are the same as in Table 1.

financial support throughout the postgraduate studies period. Mrs Angela Holman-Schwinn is acknowledged for proof reading the manuscript.

References

- Armstrong, N.A., Time-dependent factors involved in powder compression and tablet manufacture. *Int. J. Pharm.* 49 (1989) 1–13.
- Hertz, H., *Miscellaneous Papers* (1896) Tabor, D., *The Hardness of Metals*, Oxford University Press, London (1951).
- Holman, L.E., The relationship between porosity and some mechanical properties of pharmaceutical one and two component compacts, Ph.D. Thesis, Univerisy of Basle, Basle, Switzerland (1988).
- Holman, L.E. and Leuenberger, H., The relationship between solid fraction and mechanical properties of compacts: the percolation theory model approach, *Int. J. Pharm.* 46 (1988) 35–44.
- Holman, L.E. and Leuenberger, H., The effect of varying the composition of binary powder mixtures and compacts on their properties: a percolation phenomenon. To be published. author to give Journal: Complete at proofstage. (1989a).
- Holman, L.E. and Leuenberger, H., The significance of slopes of the semilogarithmic relationship between hardness and solid fraction of porous compacts. To be published. authors to give Journal: complete at proofstage. (1989b).
- Rue, P.J. and Rees, J.E.: Limitations of the Heckel relation for predicting powder compaction mechanisms. *J. Pharm. Pharmac.*, 30 (1978) 642–643.
- Sartor, K.H., “Einfluss der Pressgeschwindigkeit und der Feuchtigkeit auf das Tablettieverhalten und die Eigenschaften von Tabletten”. Lecture held on the 24th annual meeting of the International Association for Pharmaceutical Technology (APV) 5–9 April 1978, Karlsruhe, F.R.G.
- Sixsmith, D., The compression characteristics of microcrystalline cellulose powders. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, 34 (1982) 345–346.
- Waring, M.J., Rubinstein, M.H. and Howard, J.R., Acoustic emission of pharmaceutical materials: the effect of compression speed: ejection, lubrication and tablet weight. *Int. J. Pharm.*, 40 (1987) 15–22.
- York, P., A consideration of experimental variables in the analysis of powder compaction behaviour. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 31 (1979) 244–246.